ED 026 379

human freedom. (JS)

TE 001 113

By-Bigelow, Gordon E.
A Primer of Existentialism.
Pub Date Dec 61
Note-8p.
Journal Cit-College English; v23 n3 p171-78 Dec 1961
EDRS Price MF-\$0.25 HC-\$0.50

Descriptors-Beliefs, Ethical Values, Humanities, Literary Criticism, *Literature, Moral Values, Personal Values, *Philosophy, Political Attitudes, Psychological Patterns, *Religion, Self Concept, Theories, *Twentieth Century Literature

Although no set of principles can apply uniformly to all existentialists, certain basic characteristics of existentialism are central to both the nonreligious writers like Sartre and Camus and the theistic existentialists like Kierkegaard, Maritain, Marcel, Tillich, Berdyaev, and Buber. These characteristics are (1) an insistence that human life is understandable only in terms of an individual man's existence, i.e., that man's existence precedes his essence, (2) a conviction that human reason is impotent to deal with the "dark places in human life which are "non-reason", (3) a feeling that modern man lives his life alienated from God, nature, other men, and his own true self, (4) a recognition of the anxiety that oppresses man because he must accept full responsibility for his own moral choices, (5) a sense that a man alienated from God

and man can encounter only Nothingness, and (6) a concern to enlarge the range of



College English, vol. 23, no. 3, December 1961
US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. EDUCATION & WELFARE

OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE
PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION
POSITION OR POLICY

A Primer of Existentialism

GORDON E. BIGELOW

For some years I fought the word by irritably looking the other way whenever I stumbled across it, hoping that like dadaism and some of the other "isms" of the French avant garde it would go away if I ignored it. But existentialism was apparently more than the picture it evoked of uncombed beards, smoky basement cafes, and French beatniks regaling one another between sips of absinthe with brilliant variations on the theme of despair. It turned out to be of major importance to literature and the arts, to philosophy and theology, and of increasing importance to the social sciences. To learn more about it, I read several of the self-styled introductions to the subject, with the baffled sensation of a man who reads a critical introduction to a novel only to find that he must read the novel before he can understand the introduction. Therefore, I should like to provide here something most discussions of existentialism take for granted, a simple statement of its basic characteristics. This is a reckless thing to do because there are several kinds of existentialism and what one says of one kind may not be true of another, but there is an area of agreement, and it is this common ground that I should like

An associate professor at the University of Florida, Mr. Bigelow's main professional interests are American literature, the humanities, and English as a second language. He writes that he is also interested in the out-of-doors, skin-living, swimming, fishing, and camping. This year he is at the University of Vienna on a Fulbright grant.

to set forth here. We should not run into trouble so long as we understand from the outset that the six major themes outlined below will apply in varying degrees to particular existentialists. A reader should be able to go from here to the existentialists themselves, to the more specialized critiques of them, or be able to recognize an existentialist theme or coloration in literature when he sees it.

A word first about the kinds of existentialism. Like transcendentalism of the last century, their are almost as many varieties of this ism as there are individual writers to whom the word is applied (not all of them claim it). But without being facetious we might group them into two main kinds, the ungodly and the godly. To take the ungodly or atheistic irst, we would list as the chief spokesmen among many others Jean-Paul Sartre, Albert Camus, and Simone de Beauvoir. Several of this important group of French writers had rigorous and significant experience in the Resistance during the Nazi occupation of France in World War II. Out of the despair which came with the collapse of their nation during those terrible years they found unexpected strength in the single indomitable human spirit, which even under severe torture could maintain the spirit of resistance, the unextinguishable ability to say "No." From this irrreducible core in the human spirit, they erected after the war a philosophy which was a twentieth-century variation of the philosophy of Descartes. But instead of saying "I think, therefore I am," they said "I can say No, therefore I exist." As we shall presently see, the use of the word "exist" is of prime significance. This group is chiefly responsible for giving existentialism its status in the popular mind as a literary-philosophical cult.

Of the godly or theistic existentialists we should mention first a mid-nineteenthcentury Danish writer, Søren Kierkegaard; two contemporary French Roman Catholics, Gabriel Marcel and Jacques Maritain; two Protestant theologians, Paul Tillich and Nicholas Berdyaev; and Martin Buber, an important contemporary Jewish theologian. Taken together, their writings constitute one of the most significant developments in modern theology. Behind both groups of existentialists stand other important figures, chiefly philosophers, who exert powerful influence upon the movement— Blaise Pascal, Friedrich Nietzsche, Henri Bergson, Martin Heidegger, Karl Jaspers, among others. Several literary figures, notably Tolstoy and Dostoievsky, are frequently cited because existentialist attitudes and themes are prominent in their writings. The eclectic nature of this movement should already be sufficiently clear and the danger of applying too rigidly to any particular figure the general characteristics of the movement which I now make bold to describe:

1. Existence before essence. Existentialism gets its name from an insistence that human life is understandable only in terms of an individual man's existence, his particular experience of life. It says that a man lives (has existence) rather than is (has being or essence), and that every man's experience of life is unique, radically different from everyone else's and can be understood truly only in Mankind, a universal of human nature of which each man is only one example. It eschews the question of Greek philosophy, "What is mankind?" which suggests that man can be defined if he is ranged in his proper place in the order of nature; it asks instead the question of Job and St. Augustine, "Who am I?" with its suggestion of the uniqueness and mystery of each human life and its emphasis upon the subjective or personal rather than the objective or impersonal. From the outside a man appears to be just another natural creature; from the inside he is an entire universe, the center of infinity. The existentialist insists upon this latter radically subjective view, and from this grows much of the rest of existentialism.

2. Reason is impotent to deal with THE DEPTHS OF HUMAN LIFE. There are two parts to this proposition—first, that human reason is relatively weak and imperfect, and second, that there are dark places in human life which are "nonreason" and to which reason scarcely penetrates. Since Plato, Western civilization has usually assumed a separation of reason from the rest of the human psyche, and has glorified reason as suited to command the nonrational part. The classic statement of this separation appears in the *Phaedrus*, where Plato describes the psyche in the myth of the chariot which is drawn by the white steeds of the emotions and the black unruly steeds of the appetites. The driver of the chariot is Reason who holds the reins which control the horses and the whip to subdue the surging black steeds of passion. Only the driver, the rational nature, is given human form; the rest of the psyche, the nonrational part, is given a lower, animal form. This separation and exaltation of reason is carried further in the allegory of the cave in the Republic. You recall the terms of his involvement in life or commitment to it. It strenuously shuns that the story begins: men are chained in the view which assumes an ideal of Man or dark in a cave, with their backs to a



flickering firelight, able to see only uncertain shadows moving on the wall before them, able to hear only confused echoes of sounds. One of the men, breaking free from his chains, is able to turn and look upon the objects themselves and the light which casts the shadows; even, at last, he is able to work his way entirely out of the cave into the sunlight beyond. All this he is able to do through his reason; he escapes from the bondage of error, from time and change, from death itself, into the realm of changeless eternal ideas or Truth, and the lower nature which had chained him in darkness is left behind.

Existentialism in our time, and this is one of its most important characteristics, insists upon reuniting the "lower" or irrational parts of the psyche with the "higher." It insists that man must be taken in his wholeness and not in some divided state, that whole man contains not only intellect but also anxiety, guilt, and the will to power-which modify and sometimes overwhelm the reason. A man seen in this light is fundamentally ambiguous, if not mysterious, full of contradictions and tensions which cannot be dissolved simply by taking thought. "Human life," said Berdyaev, "is permeated by underground streams." One is reminded of D. H. Lawrence's outburst against Franklin and his rational attempt to achieve moral perfection: "The Perfectability of Man! ... The perfectability of which man? I am many men. Which of them are you going to perfect? I am not a mechanical contrivance. . . . It's a queer thing is a man's soul. It is the whole of him. Which means it is the unknown as well as the known. . . The soul of man is a dark vast forest, with wild life in it." The emphasis in existentialism is not on idea but upon the thinker who has the idea. It accepts not only his power of thought, but his contingency and fallibility, his frailty, his body, blood, and bones, and above all his death. Kierkegaard emphasized the

distinction between subjective truth (what a person is) and objective truth (what the person knows), and said that we encounter the true self not in the detachment of thought but in the involvement and agony of choice and in the pathos of commitment to our choice. This distrust of rational systems helps to explain why many existential writers in their own expression are paradoxical or prophetic or gnomic, why their works often belong more to literature than to philosophy.

3. Alienation or estrangement. One major result of the dissociation of reason from the rest of the psyche has been the growth of science, which has become one of the hallmarks of Western civilization, and an ever-increasing rational ordering of men in society. As the existentialists view them, the main forces of history since the Renaissance have progressively separated man from concrene earthy existence, have forced him to live at ever higher levels of abstraction, have collectivized individual man out of existence, have driven God from the heavens, or what is the same thing, from the hearts of men. They are convinced that modern man lives in a fourfold condition of alienation: from God, from nature, from other men, from his own true self.

The estrangement from God is most shockingly expressed by Nietzsche's anguished cry, "God is dead," a cry which has continuously echoed through the writings of the existentialists, particularly the French. This theme of spiritual barrenness is a commonplace in literature of this century, from Eliot's "Hollow Man" to the novels of Dos Passos, Hemingway, and Faulkner. It often appears in writers not commonly associated with the existentialists as in this remarkable passage from A Story-Teller's Story, where Sherwood Anderson describes his own awakening to his spiritual emptiness. He tells of walking alone late at night along a moonlit road when,



I had suddenly an odd, and to my own seeming, a ridiculous desire to abase myself before something not human and so stepping into the mounlit road, I knelt in the dust. Having no God, the gods having been taken from me by the life about me, as a personal God has been taken from all modern men by a force within that man himself does not understand but that is called the intellect, I kept smiling at the figure I cut in my own eyes as I knelt in the road.

There was no God in the sky, no God in myself, no conviction in myself that I had the power to believe in a God, and so I merely knelt in the dust in silence and no words came to my lins.

In another passage Anderson wondered if the giving of itself by an entire generation to mechanical things was not really making all men impotent, if the desire for a greater navy, a greater army, taller public buildings, was not a sign of growing impotence. He felt that Puritanism and the industrialism which was its offspring had sterilized modern life, and proposed that men return to a healthful animal vigor by renewed contact with simple things of the earth, among them untrammeled sexual expression. One is reminded of the unkempt and delectable raffishness of Steinbeck's Camery Row or of D. H. Lawrence's quasi-religious doctrine of sex. "blood-consciousness" and the "divine otherness" of animal existence.

Man's estrangement from nature has been a major theme in literature at least since Rousseau and the Romantic movement, and can hardly be said to be the property of existentialists. But this group nevertheless aids its own insistence that one of modern man's most urgent dangers is that he builds ever higher the brick and steel walls of technology which shut him away from a health-giving life according to "nature." Their treatment of this theme is most commonly expressed as part of a broader insistence that modern man needs to shun abstraction

and return to "concreteness" or "wholeness."

A third estrangement has occurred at the social level and its sign is a growing dismay at man's helplesaness before the great machine-like colossus of industrialized society. This is another major theme of Western literature, and here again, though they hardly discovered the danger or began the protest, the existentialists in our time renew the protest against any pattern or force which would stifle the unique and spontaneous in individual life. The crowding of men into cities, the subdivision of labor which submerges the man in his economic function, the burgeoning of centralized government, the growth of advertising, propaganda, and mass media of entertainment and communication-all the things which force men into Riesman's "Lonely Crowd"-these same things drive men asunder by destroying their individuality and making them live on the surface of life, content to deal with things rather than people. "Exteriorization," says Berdyaev, "is the source of slavery, whereas freedom is interiorization. Slavery always indicates alienation, the ejection of human nature into the external." This kind of alienation is exemplified by Zero, in Elmer Rice's play "The Adding Machine." Zero's twenty-five years as a bookkeeper in a department store have dried up his humanity, making him incapable of love, of friendship, of any deeply felt, freely expressed emotion. Such estrangement is often given as the reason for man's inhumanity to man, the explanation for injustice in modern society. In Camus' short novel, aptly called The Stranger, a young man is convicted by a court of murder. This is a homicide which he has actually committed under extensiting circumstances. But the court never listens to any of the relevant evidence, seems never to hear anything that pertains to the crime itself; it convicts the young man on wholly irrelevant grounds-be-



day before the homicide. In this book one feels the same dream-like distortion of reality as in the trial scene in Alice in Wanterland 2 suffocuting sease of being cordinard for events which are irrational or aluund but also inexocable. Most disuniting of all is the young man's aloneness, the impermeable membrane of estrangement which surrounds him and presents anyone else from penetrating to his experience of life or sympathizme with it.

The fourth kind of alteration, wan's consequences from his own true self, especially as his nature is distorted by an explaining of resons, is another theme having an extensive history as a major punt of the Romantic revolt. Of the many writers who treat the theme, Hawthome cames particularly close to the combais of contemporary cristosislists. sympathy." Hawtherne is thoroughly in his preservation with sin and the he seen in part as his anempt to build back some follows to the fattened image of more bequesthed to him by the En-Lienary Whitney was trying to do this when he added firsh and bene and a sensed means to the spiritual-cell image of more he inherited from Emerson, though his image remains differed and sttrunted by the same counic optimism. Many of the mineteenth-century depictions of mus represent him as a figure of power or of potential power, sometimes as distribute. The Melville's Alash, but complex facilities 4- the s

cause he had behaved in an unconven- time when his command over natural triangle way at his mother's funeral the forces seems to be unlimited, man is pictured as weak, ridden with nameless dread. And this brings us to another of the major thesaes of existentialism.

4. "Fear and members," Anniety. At Stockholm when he accepted the Nobel Prize, William Fankner said that "Our magnets moder is a general and universal physical fear so long sustained by now that we can even bear it. There are no longer problems of the spirit. There is only one question: When will I be blown up:" The optimistic vision of the Endglanders which saw man, through reason and its extensions in scicace, conquering all matter and solving all social and political problems in a continuous upward spiral of Progress, cracked open like a melon on the rock of World War L The theories which held such high hopes died in that sickening and uninequality butchery. Here His Ether Brand, Dr. Rappaccini, and was a concrete fact of human nature and Reger Chillingworth are a recurrent society which the theories could not figure who represents the dislocation contain. The Great Depression and in human matter which results when an Weeld War II despend the sense of severs "the magnetic chain of human brought, but only with the atomic bomb did this become an unbearable terror, a contential in his concern for the smethy threat of instant annihilation which conof the individual immus soul, as well as frounced all men, even those most insuland by the thick crust of material goods distil side of human nature, which must and services. Now the most unthinking person could sense that each advance in mechanical acclarings carried not only a chromian and plash promise of comfort but a threat as well.

Saure, fellowing Kierkegaard, speaks of another kind of anxiety which oppreses modern man-"the anguish of Abraham"-the necessity which is hid upon him to make meral choices on his own responsibility. A military officer in wartime knows the agony of choice which feroes king to specifice part of his active to preserve the rest, as does a after World War I the power is gone; man in high political office, who must man is not meanly distanced or transcated, make decisions offering the lives of The existentialists claim that each of us must make mucul decisions in our own lives which involve the same anguish. Kierkegund finds that this mecessity is one thing which makes each life unique, which makes it impossible to speculate or generalize about human life, because each man's case is intemicrably his own, something in which he is personally and pursuantily involved. His book Few and Trembing is an elaborate and inscinning commentary on the Old Tesament stary of Abraham, who was commanded by Gold to sacrifice his beloved son Isaac. Almiham thus becomes the emblem at man who must make a harrowing choice, in this case between lave for his sun and love for God, between the universal moral has which sees constanting "thou shalt not kill," and the unique inner demand of his religious suits. Abraham's decision, which is no violane the abstract and collective mutual laws. has to be made not in acceptance but in fear and trembling, one of the inferences being that sometimes one must make an exception to the general law because he is (existentially) an execution, a concrete being whose existence can mover be completely subsumed under any universal.

For the man alicented from God, from nature, from his fellow man and from himself, what is left at lest but National ness. The testimous of the existentialists is that this is where modern man new finds himself, not on the highway of upward Progress toward a radiant Custom but on the hink of a constraphic precipie, below which ways the absolute will an uncompromised black National ness. In one sense this is Eligi's Wasteland inhabited by his Hollow Man, who is

Shape without form, shade without and a Paralyzed force, pessure without metion.

This is what moves E. A. Robinson's Richard Corv., the man who is exerciting that might make us wish that we were in his place, to go home one calm same

mer night und par a bullet through his head.

One of the most constraining statements of the encounter with Nothingness is made by Leo Tolstoy in "My Confession. He tells have in good health, in the prime of life, when he had everything that a man could desire wealth, time restorate social position, a beauairsi wife and cinkless, a brilliant mind anti great actistic talent in the height of their powers, he nevertheless was seized with a growing uncesines, a number disconnent which he could not shake or allerane. His especience was like that et a man who falls sick, with symptoms which he disregards as insignificant, but the sampoons remain again and again anni they merge into a continuous sufstating. And the patient suddenly is coninsumi with the overwhelming fact that what he mak for mere indisposition is more important to him than anything che en cath, that it is death! "I felt the ground on which I stood was crumbling. that there was nothing for me to stand on, that what I had been living for was maine, that I had no resson for living. ... To sup was impossible, to go back was impossible, and it was impossible so sink my eves so as to see that there was maring before me but sefering and sensi desti, sisolate antibilation." This is the "Sickness Unto Death" of Kierkegund, the despuir in which one wishes to the bee cannot. Hemingway's short spece, "A Clean, Well-Lieberd Place," gives an universalite expression of this theme. At the end of the story, the old water climbs into bed late at night sering to himself. "What did he fear? It was not fear or dress. It was a mething which he knew too well it was all a moting and a man was meeting too. . . . Nate v poes nata, v nade v pues nata." And their because he has experienced the death of God he goes on to recite rize Lord's Proper in bisphenous despain 'Our Northing who art in Northing. nating be viv nothing . . . " And then the Ave Maria, "Hail nothing, full of nothing. . . ." This is stark, even for Hemingway, but the old waiter does no more than name the void felt by most people in the early Hemingway novels, a hunger they seek to assuage with alcohol, sex, and violence in an aimless progress from bar to bed to bull-ring. It goes without saying that much of the despair and pessimism in other contemporary authors springs from a similar sense of the void in modern life.

6. FREEDOM. Sooner or later, as a theme that includes all the others, the existentialist writings bear upon freedom. The themes we have outlined above describe either some loss of man's freedom or some threat to it, and all existentialists of whatever sort are concerned to enlarge the range of human freedom.

For the avowed atheists like Sartre freedom means human autonomy. In a purposeless universe man is condemned to freedom because he is the only creature who is "self-surpassing," who can become something other than he is. Precisely because there is no God to give purpose to the universe, each man must accept individual responsibility for his own becoming, a burden made heavier by the fact that in choosing for himself he chooses for all men "the image of man as he ought to be." A man is the sum total of the acts that make up his life-no more, no less-and though the coward has made himself cowardly, it is always possible for him to change and make himself heroic. In Sartre's novel, The Age of Reason, one of the least likable of the characters, almost overwhelmed by despair and self-disgust at his homosexual tendencies, is on the point of solving his problem by mutilating himself with a razor, when in an effort of will he throws the instrument down, and we are given to understand that from this moment he will have mastery over his aberrant drive. Thus in the daily course of ordinary life must men shape their becoming in Sartre's world.

The religious existentialists interpret man's freedom differently. They use much the same language as Sartre, develop the same themes concerning the predicament of man, but always include God as a radical factor. They stress the man of faith rather than the man of will. They interpret man's existential condition as a state of alienation from his essential nature which is God-like, the problem of his life being to heal the chasm between the two, that is, to find salvation. The mystery and ambiguity of man's existence they attribute to his being the intersection of two realms. "Man bears within himself," writes Berdyaev, "the image which is both the image of man and the image of God, and is the image of man as far as the image of God is actualized." Tillich describes salvation as "the act in which the cleavage between the essential being and the existential situation is overcome." Freedom here, as for Sartre, involves an acceptance of responsibility for choice and a commitment to one's choice. This is the meaning of faith, a faith like Abraham's, the commitment which is an agonizing sacrifice of one's own desire and will and dearest treasure to God's will.

A final word. Just as one should not expect to find in a particular writer all of the characteristics of existentialism as we have described them, he should also he aware that some of the most striking expressions of existentialism in literature and the arts come to us by indirection, often through symbols or through innovations in conventional form. Take the preoccupation of contemporary writers with time. In The Sound and the Fury, Faulkner both collapses and expands normal clock time, or by juxtapositions of past and present blurs time into a single amorphous pool. He does this by using various forms of "stream of consciousness" or other techniques which see life in terms of unique, subjective experience-that is, existentially. The conventional view of externalized life, a rational orderly progression cut into uniform segments by the hands of a clock, he rejects in favor of a view which sees life as opaque, ambiguous, and irrational-that is, as the existentialist sees it. Graham Greene does something like this in The Power and the Glory. He creates a scene isolated in time and cut off from the rest of the world, steamy and suffocating as if a bell jar had been placed over it. Through this atmosphere fetid with impending death and human suffering, stumbles the whiskey priest, lonely and confused, pursued by a police lieutenant who has experienced the void and the death of God.

Such expressions in literature do not mean necessarily that the authors are conscious existentialist theorizers, or

even that they know the writings of such theorizers. Faulkner may never have read Heidegger-or St. Augustineboth of whom attempt to demonstrate that time is more within a man and subject to his unique experience of it than it is outside him. But it is legitimate to call Faulkner's views of time and life "existential" in this novel because in recent years existentialist theorizers have given such views a local habitation and a name. One of the attractions, and one of the dangers, of existential themes is that they become like Sir Thomas Browne's quincunx: once one begins to look for them, he sees them everywhere. But if one applies restraint and discrimination, he will find that they illuminate much of contemporary literature and sometimes the literature of the past as well.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED

BY WCTE and Figelow
TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING UNDER AGREEMENTS WITH THE U.S. OFFICE OF EDUCATION. FURTHER REPRODUCTION OUTSIDE THE ERIC SYSTEM REQUIRES PERMISSION OF THE COPYRIGHT OWNER."

